



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
9 AD-A104	398
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
A Reinvestigation of the Reactions of Enolate Anions with Cyclotriphosphazenes	Technical Report
mitons with Cyclottiphosphazenes	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
Christopher W. Allen, Kolikkara Ramachandran Randall P. Bright and Jonathan C. Shaw	N001477C-0605
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, YASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Department of Chemistry	ANDRE WORK DITT. NOMBERS
University of Vermont	
Burlington, Vermont 05405	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Department of the Navy	9/9/81 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA 22217	5
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
I	unclassified
<del>-</del>	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	L

Approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Reg

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Submitted for publication in Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry Letters

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

phosphazenes enolate anions

organofunctional phosphazenes

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The reactions of enolate anions with hexachlorocyclotriphosphazenes have been reexamined. Based on N.M.R. spectroscopic data, structures of the type  $N_3^{\text{PP}}_3 X_5^{\text{OCR}} = \text{CH}_2'$  (X=F, C1; R=H, C2H5) are proposed.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N001477C-0605
Project NR 356-663
Technical Report, No. 9

14) TR-9

A Reinvestigation of the Reactions of Enolate Anions with Cyclotriphosphazenes

Ву

Christopher W./Allen, Kolikkara/Ramachandran/ Randall P./Bright and Jonathan C./Shaw

Prepared for Publication in Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry Letters

13/44/

University of Vermont Department of Chemistry Burlington, Vermont 05405

13) NOM24-77-Z-Ø603

A

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; it's distribution is unlimited.

# A REINVESTIGATION OF THE REACTIONS OF ENGLATE ANIONS WITH CYCLOTRIPHOSPHAZENES

Christopher W. Allen, Kolikkara Ramachandran, Randall P. Bright and Jonathan C. Shaw Department of Chemistry University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405

#### Abstract

The reactions of enolate anions with hexahalocyclotriphosphazenes have been reexamined. Based on N.M.R. spectroscopic data, structures of the type  $N_3P_3X_5OCR=CH_2$  (X=F,C1; R=H,C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) are proposed.

#### Introduction

Enolate amions of ketones are ambident nucleophiles which have attracted considerable attention (1). We have previously reported the reactions of the lithium enolate anions of acetophenone and cyclohexanone with hexafluorocyclotriphosphazene,  $N_3P_3F_6$ , and based on limited nmr data suggested that attack occurred at the carbon end of the nucleophile leading to ketones with the phosphazene moiety bonded to the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom (2). Recently, Tate et.al. reported the reactions of polydichlorophosphazene with various enolate anions and proposed that attack occurred at the oxygen end of the nucleophile (3), thus leading to vinyl alcohol derivatives. This investigation is an attempt to resolve these conflicting interpretation concerning the nature of these materials.

## Experimental

Hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene, N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>, (Ethyl Corp.) was recrystallized from petroleum ether to a constant m.p. 113°C n-Butyl lithium (1.6M solution in hexane) was obtained from Aldrich. Tetrahydrofuran (THF)(Aldrich) was distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl. Petroleum ether (b.p. 35-55°C), benzene and ethyl acetate (Fisher) were distilled by standard procedures. NMR spectra (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) were recorded on a Bruker WM250 spectrometer operating at 250.1 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H), 62.9 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C), and 101.2 MHz (<sup>31</sup>P). Tetramethyl silane (TMS) was used as an internal reference for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR measurements. For <sup>31</sup>P NMR, 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> was used as an external standard. Chemical shifts upfield to the reference are assigned a negative sign. <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded under conditions of broad band decoupling. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained as their thin films (NaCl discs) on a Beckman IR 20A spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer RMU-6D spectrometer operating at 80 eV. Elemental analyses were performed by Integral Microanalytical Laboratories. Hexafluorocyclotriphosphazene (4) and the derivatives derived from

the enolate anions of acetophenone (I,  $N_3P_3F_50C_8H_7$ ) and cyclohexanone (I),  $N_3P_3F_50C_6H_90$ ) (2) were prepared by previously reported procedures.

Preparation of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub>OCH=CH<sub>2</sub> (III). A solution of n-butyl lithium (45 mL, 0.07 mol) was added to tetrahydrofuran (THF)(75 mL) at room temperature, using the apparatus described elsewhere (5). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 16 hr then slowly added to a solution of 10.5 g (.03 mol) of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> in tetrahydrofuran at 0°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and was kept stirred for four days. The solvent was removed and the residue extracted with hexane. Following filtration and removal of hexane, 10.2 g of a pale yellow liquid was obtained. A 2 gm sample of this material was purified using the flash chromatography technique (6) using petroleum ether as the eluant. A 0.92 g (44.3% of theory) sample of a colorless liquid, b.p. 75° at 0.05 mm Hg was obtained. Anal. Calcd. for N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub>OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> (III): C, 6.75; H, 0.84; mol wt 353. Found: C, 6.74; H, 0.75; mol wt 353 (mass spectrum).

IR(cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1650(s, C=C str), 1220(s, PN str), 1110(s, PO str), 1035(s), 930(w, PC1), 875(m, PC1) 750(m, PC1).

Preparation of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>F<sub>5</sub>OCH=CH<sub>2</sub> (IV). The reaction of 40 mL (0.064) of n-butyl lithium solution in excess THF was added to 16.0 g (0.064 mol) of N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>F<sub>6</sub> as previously described. After removal of most of the solvent, the remaining product/solvent mixture was carefully fractionated at room temperature. The remaining solvent distilling at 5 mm Hg and the product at 1 mm Hg. A sample of 0.56 g (3.2% of theory) of a colorless liquid was obtained. Anal. Calcd. for N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>F<sub>5</sub>OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub> (IV): mol wt 273. Found: mol wt 273 (mass spectrum).

IR(cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1645(m, C=C), 1290(s, PN str), 1125(s, PO str), 1060(m), 1010(m), 950(s, PF asym), 880(s), 845(s, PF), 800(m).

Attempted Derivatization of I and II. In separate experiments, the acetophenone (I) and cyclohexanone (II) derivatives of  $N_3P_3F_6$  were treated with bromine (Br<sub>2</sub>/CCl<sub>4</sub>) and hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>/10% Pt on activated carbon). In both the bromination and hydrogenation experiments, a large number of products formed which resisted separation.

#### Results and Discussion

The two possible reaction pathways for the ambident enolate amions with a hexahalocyclotriphosphazene are shown below. Our first attempt at resolving this question involved derivatiza-

$$N_3P_3X_6 + Li^+ \xrightarrow{O}_{CH_2} R$$
 $N_3P_3X_5CH_2CR$ 
 $N_3P_3X_5CH_2CR$ 
 $N_3P_3X_5CH_2CR$ 

tion with bromine on hydrogen. If the vinyloxy (route b) derivative formed, then the simple addition compounds would be readily identifiable. Unfortunately, these reactions gave rise to a large number of products so we turned our attention to nmr spectroscopic techniques. In order to gain more useful information from the nmr studies, we prepared derivatives containing hydrogen

2 411 22:21422 22						Hx Hb  x  b =P(C1)OC1=C2-Ha (III)	$\exists P(F)OC \overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset{\overset$	${}^{\pm P(F)0}_{C_{6}H_{5}} = {}^{C_{6}}_{H_{2}} \qquad (1)$	Compound
potante are given in Ur	${}^{2}J_{H_{a}H_{b}} = 2.44,  {}^{5}J_{H_{a}F} = 0.61$ ${}^{6}H_{b} = 4.85;  {}^{3}J_{H_{b}H_{x}} = 5.80,  {}^{4}J_{H_{b}P} = 2.75$ ${}^{2}J_{H_{b}H_{a}} = 2.44,  {}^{5}J_{H_{b}F} = 1.22$	$^{3}_{H_{\mathbf{x}}H_{\mathbf{b}}} = 5.80$ $^{6}_{H_{\mathbf{a}}} = 5.13;  ^{3}_{H_{\mathbf{a}}H_{\mathbf{x}}} = 13.43,  ^{4}_{H_{\mathbf{a}}P} = 2.44$	${^{2}J_{H_{b}}}_{H_{a}} = 2.44$ (IV) ${^{6}H_{x}} = 6.48$ ; ${^{3}J_{H_{x}}}_{H_{x}} = 13.43$ , ${^{3}J_{H_{x}}}_{P} = 7.02$	$^{2}_{H_{a}H_{b}} = 2.44$ $^{5}_{H_{b}} = 4.89; ^{3}_{H_{b}H_{x}} = 5.80, ^{4}_{H_{6}P} = 2.59$	$^{6}H_{x}^{H_{b}}$ $^{6}H_{a}^{H} = 5.16; ^{3}J_{H}^{H} = 13.43, ^{4}J_{H}^{P} = 2.75$	δH = 6.55;	$ \stackrel{\text{\tiny $\exists$P(F)OC$}}{\underset{\text{\tiny $\downarrow$}}{\longleftarrow}} \stackrel{\text{\tiny $C_a^a$}}{\underset{\text{\tiny $\downarrow$}}{\longleftarrow}} (II)  \delta H_a = 5.58;  (COMPLEX MULTIPLET) $	δH <sub>b</sub> = 5.43; (COMPLEX MULTIPLET)	δH = 5.19: (COMPLEX MILTIPLET)
		δC <sub>2</sub> =104.31, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =10.99	δC <sub>1</sub> =140.29, <sup>2</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> = 6.27		8C <sub>2</sub> =104.27, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =14.80	6C <sub>1</sub> =140.21, <sup>2</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =7.40	δC <sub>1</sub> =147.95, <sup>2</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =9.24 δC <sub>2</sub> =114.49, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =6.40	EC <sub>2</sub> =100.11, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>PC</sub> =5.28	6C =152.43 2; =7.94
		δ≅PF <sub>a</sub> = 10.97, <sup>1</sup> J <sub>PP</sub> = 909.97	ô≅PFR = 11.18, <sup>1</sup> J <sub>PF</sub> = 887.67		δ≡PCl <sub>2</sub> = 23.36, <sup>2</sup> J <sub>PP</sub> = 63.48	δ≡PClR= 13.24, <sup>2</sup> J <sub>PP</sub> = 64.70	$\delta = PFR = 15.52, \frac{1}{1}_{PF} = 919.01$ $\delta = PF_2 = 10.30, \frac{1}{1}_{PF} = 932.13$	$\delta = PF_2 = 10.02,  ^1J_{PF} = 937.55$	δ≅PFR = 14.84 1 = 007.43

a. All coupling constants are given in Hz b.  $^{14}$  and  $^{13}$ C chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to TMS c.  $^{31}$ P chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to 85% H $_3$ PO $_4$ 

atoms on both carbon atoms. The enclate anion of acetaldehyde is quantitatively generated from the metalation of THF with n-butyl lithium (7).

$$c_4H_8O + n-c_4H_9Li \longrightarrow Lioc_2H_3 + c_2H_4 + c_4H_{10}$$

and gives derivatives of both the hexachloro- and hexafluorocyclotriphosphazene. The nmr data

$$L_{10C_{2}H_{3}}^{H_{3}} + N_{3}P_{3}X_{6} \longrightarrow N_{3}P_{3}X_{5}OC_{2}H_{3}$$
 III: X=C1 IV: X=F

(1H, 13C, 31P) for compounds I-IV are reported in the table.

The H nmr spectrum of III closely resembles that of vinyl acetate except for the additional phosphorus coupling for each proton and can be analyzed as such. The observed spectrum is not consistent with a carbon bonded derivative since H exhibits more extensive coupling than it would as an aldehyde proton. The chemical shifts are more appropriate for olefinic rather than for alkyl and aldehyde protons. The same arguments apply to IV. The previous H nmr data on compounds I and II were obtained at 60 MHz and were poorly resolved (2). In the 250 MHz spectrum, the additional coupling appropriate to an olefin but not to an a-substituted ketone, is observed. The separation between  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  in I is too large to be ascribed to  $J_{P_{CH}}$  in an alkylphosphazene (8). Furthermore, only  $H_a$  is observed in II, thus the two peaks  $(H_a, H_b)$  observed in I are not reasonably ascribed to phosphorus-proton coupling. The strongest evidence favoring the bonding of the enol form comes from the 13 c nmr data. There are no resonances in the alkyl or carbonyl ranges (9) while those in the olefin range match the number predicted from a vinyloxy derivative. The assignments of C1 and C2 shifts in III were confirmed by off resonance decoupling in which  $C_1$  becomes a doublet and  $C_2$  a triplet. The large shielding of  $C_1$  is due to mesomeric interactions of C1 with oxygen lone pair electrons (9). The magnitude of the phosphorus-carbon coupling constants is variable and doesn't provide a useful structural tool in this case. nmr spectra of I-IV show little variation with or without broad band proton decoupling. If the phosphorus atom were bonded to a methylene group, significant  $J_{\mbox{\scriptsize PCH}}$  effects would be observed in the EPXR resonance.

All of the nmr data which we have presented support the proposal of Tate (3) that attack of the enolate is at the oxygen end, rather than at the carbon end, of the nucleophile. The IR data are also consistent with this conclusion. The bands around 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be ascribed to olefinic stretching modes rather than carbonyl modes and bands around 1130 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to a POC vibration rather than unusual PN vibrations. These materials, especially the newly reported III and IV, represent another example of organofunctional phosphazenes (10) which may be expected to exhibit interesting monomer and polymer chemistry. Work along these lines is currently in progress in our laboratory.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We also wish to thank Dr. D. P. Tate of Firestone Corp. for useful discussion.

## References

- 1. H. O. HOUSE and V. KROMER, J. Org. Chem. 28, 3362 (1963).
- 2. J. G. DuPONT and C. W. ALLEN, Inorg. Chem. 16, 2964 (1977).
- 3. D. P. TATE, W. L. HERGENROTHER, J. W. KANG and D. F. GRAVES, Polymer Preprints 20, 177 (1979).
- 4. T. MOELLER, K. JOHN and F. Y. TSANG, Chem. Ind. (London) 347 (1961).
- 5. C. W. ALLEN, R. P. BRIGHT, J. L. DESORCIE, J. A. MACKAY and K. RAMACHANDRAN, J. Chem. Educ. 57, 564 (1980).
- 6. W. C. Still, M. KAHN and A. MITRA, J. Org. Chem. 43, 2923 (1978).
- 7. R. B. BATES, L. M. KROPOSKI and D. E. POTTER, J. Org. Chem. 37, 560 (1972).
- 8. T. N. RANGANATHAN, S. M. TODD and N. L. PADDOCK, Inorg. Chem. 12, 316 (1973); H. R. ALLCOCK and P. J. HARRIS, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 6221 (1979).
- 9. J. B. STROTHERS, Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy, Academic Press, N. Y. (1972).
- 10. C. W. ALLEN and J. G. DuPONT, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 18, 81 (1979).

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research		U. S. Army Research Office	
Attn: Code 472		Attn: CRD-AA-IP	
800 North Quincy Street		P.O. Box 1211	
Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Attn: Dr. George Sandoz		Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	•
536 S. Clark Street	•	San Diego, California 92152	1
Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Warran I Warrana Campan	
		Naval Weapons Center	
		Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster,	
		Chemistry Division	1
		China Lake, California 93555	1
		Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory	
ONR Branch Office		Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	
1030 East Green Street		Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Pasadena, California 91106	1		
		Department of Physics & Chemistry	
ONR Branch Office		Naval Postgraduate School	
Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles		Monterey, California 93940	1
Building 114, Section D			
666 Summer Street		Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	
Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Scientific Advisor	
Name of Name of Name of State		Commandant of the Marine Corps	
Director, Naval Research Laboratory		(Code RD-1)	1
Attn: Code 6100	,	Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Office of Naval Research	
The Assistant Secretary		Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S)		800 N. Quincy Street	
Department of the Navy		Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Room 4E736, Pentagon		arrington, virginia 2221	•
Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Naval Ship Research and Development	
	-	Center	
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command		Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied	
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)		Chemistry Division	
Department of the Navy		Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Washington, D.C. 20360	1		
<del>-</del>		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Defense Documentation Center		Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine	
Building 5, Cameron Station		Sciences Division	
Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld		Mr. John Boyle	
Chemistry Division		Materials Branch	
Naval Research Laboratory		Naval Ship Engineering Center	
Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Dr. T. C. Williams		Douglas Aircraft Company	
Union Carbide Corporation		3855 Lakewood Boulevard	
Chemical and Plastics		Long Beach, California 90846	
Tarrytown Technical Center		Attn: Technical Library	
Tarrytown, New York	1	C1 290/36-84	_
		AUTO-Sutton	1
Dr. R. Soulen			
Contract Research Department		NASA-Lewis Research Center	
Pennwalt Corporation		21000 Brookpark Road	
900 First Avenue	•	Cleveland, Ohio 44135	•
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406	1	Attn: Dr. T. T. Serafini, MS 49-1	1
Er. A. G. MacDiarmid		Dr. J. Griffith	
University of Pennsylvania		Naval Research Laboratory	
Department of Chemistry		Chemistry Section, Code 6120	
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174	1	Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. C. Pittman		Dr. G. Goodman	
University of Alabama		Globe-Union Incorporated	
Department of Chemistry		5757 North Green Bay Avenue	
University, Alabama 35486	1	Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Dr. H. Allcock		Dr. E. Fischer, Code 2853	
Pennsylvania State University		Naval Ship Research and	
Department of Chemistry		Development Center	
"High resity Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1	Annapolis Division	
		Annapolis, Maryland 21402	1
Dr. M. Kenney			
Case-Western University		Dr. Martin H. Kaufman, Head	
Repartment of Chemistry		Materials Research Branch (Code 4542)	
Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Naval Weapons Center	
		China Lake, California 93555	1
Dr. R. Lenz			
University of Massachusetts		Dr. J. Magill	
Department of Chemistry	_	University of Pittsburg	
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002	1	Metallurgical and Materials	
		Engineering	_
Dr. M. David Curtis		Pittsburg, Pennsylvania 22230	1
University of Michigan			
Department of Chemistry		Dr. D. Bergbreiter	
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105	1	Texas A&M University	
		Department of Chemistry	•
Dr. M. Good		College Station, Texas 77843	1
Division of Engineering Research		<b>n</b> (	
Anuisiana State University		Professor R. Drago	
Baron Rouge, Louisiana 70803	1	Department of Chemistry	
		University of Illinois	•
		Urbana, Illinois 61801	1

#### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356B

#### No. Copies

Dr. F. Brinkman Chemical Stability & Corrosion Division Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards 1 Washington, D.C. 20234 Professor H. A. Titus Department of Electrical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 1 COL R. W. Bowles, Code 100M Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 1 Professor T. Katz Department of Chemistry Columbia University 10027 New York, New York 1 Professor James Chien Department of Chemistry University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01002 1 Professor Malcolm B. Polk Department of Chemistry Atlanta University 1 Atlanta, Georgia 30314

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

No. Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus Office of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group American Embassy 1 APO San Francisco 96503 Mr. James Kelley DTNSRDC Code 2803 1 Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Mr. Keith B. Baucom Director of Contract Research SCM-PCR Incorporated P.O. Box 1466 1 Gainesville, Florida 32602

The state of the s